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Introduction

Visceral pain is an important component of the normal sensory repertoire of all human beings, a prominent symptom of many clinical conditions and one of the most frequent reasons for patients to seek medical attention. Yet, much of what we know about the basic mechanisms of pain derives from experimental studies of somatic nociception. Even chronic pain models are generally based on inflammatory lesions of the skin, muscles or joints, or on peripheral nerve injury. The traditional view of visceral pain considers it to be simply a variant of somatic pain. Therefore, it follows that a single neurological mechanism should be responsible for all forms of pain. However, the more we know about the mechanisms of somatic and visceral sensation, the more we realize that these two processes have important differences. The mechanisms of visceral pain are likely to differ between organs and systems, but apart from the specifics of the individual viscus, there are two common principles that apply to all forms of visceral pain. First, the psychophysics of visceral pain differs from that of somatic pain and needs to be carefully examined, as the characteristics of pain of internal origin will tell us much about the underlying cause. Second, the neurological mechanisms of somatic pain cannot be extrapolated to visceral pain without qualification.

Visceral pain has clinical features that make it unique and different from somatic pain [1]. These features are: (1) visceral pain is not evoked from all viscera; (2) it is not...
linked to visceral injury; (3) it is referred to other, often remote, locations; (4) it is diffuse and poorly localized; (5) it is accompanied by exaggerated motor and autonomic reflexes.

The mechanisms responsible for these clinical features of visceral pain are also unique. Properties i and ii are due to the functional properties of the peripheral receptors that innervate visceral organs and because many viscera are innervated by receptors whose activation does not evoke conscious perception. These, therefore, are not sensory receptors in a strict sense. Properties iii, iv and v are explained by the central organization of visceral nociceptive mechanisms, particularly by the lack of a separate visceral sensory pathway in the spinal cord and brain and to the very low proportion of visceral afferent fibers compared to those of somatic origin.

The neurobiological mechanisms responsible for somatic and visceral hyperalgesia share some common features, but they also have important differences. Traditionally, two forms of hyperalgesia, primary and secondary, have been considered (fig. 1a). Primary hyperalgesia occurs at the site of injury and is the consequence of increased input from nociceptors sensitized by the originating stimulus. These sensitized nociceptors send enhanced afferent discharges to the central nervous system (CNS), thus evoking increased pain from the primary hyperalgesic area and contributing to the alterations in central processing that are, in turn, responsible for secondary hyperalgesia.

Secondary hyperalgesia is usually defined as an increased sensitivity to pain occurring in areas adjacent, or even remote, to the site of injury. We know that secondary hyperalgesia is the result of an alteration in the central processing of impulses from low-threshold mechanoreceptors, such that these impulses are able to activate nociceptive neurons, thus evoking pain. The basic model of visceral hyperalgesia shown in figure 1a includes the peripheral origin of primary hyperalgesia due to nociceptor sensitization and the alteration in the central processing of afferent impulses from low-threshold mechanoreceptors that result in referred secondary hyperalgesia. This alteration is initially triggered and later maintained by the enhanced afferent discharges from the primary hyperalgesic area. In the case of referred visceral hyperalgesia, the primary focus is located in an internal organ, where nociceptors are sensitized by the originating stimulus and send enhanced discharges to the CNS. There, these impulses trigger and maintain a secondary hyperalgesic area that, in this case, is referred to the surface of the body.

The fundamental neurobiological mechanism is therefore similar in somatic and visceral hyperalgesia and in both cases includes a peripheral component of enhanced activity from nociceptors and a central component of alteration in the central processing of low-threshold inputs. However, in the case of visceral hyperalgesia the peripheral component can generate a secondary hyperalgesic
state that is remote from the originating locus and produces a sensory alteration mediated by an alteration in central processing. In the case of functional pain syndromes, this referred or secondary hyperalgesia can occur as a consequence of the activation of the central mechanisms by genetic, hormonal or metabolic factors in the absence of a clear peripheral drive (fig. 1b).

Sensitization of Visceral Nociceptors

Tissue injury induces a process of peripheral sensitization, whereby the excitability of the nociceptors is increased and their threshold lowered. Sensitization is an important neuronal mechanism underlying primary hyperalgesia at the site of injury or inflammation [1] (fig. 1a). The skin is innervated by a rich variety of specific receptors with mechanical, thermal and chemical sensitivities that subserve many sensory modalities, including touch, temperature and pain as well as blended sensations such as wetness, stickiness or prickle. This afferent activity is integrated in the CNS by discrete pathways that project to several regions of the brain where the different aspects of the sensory experience, discrimination, emotion and cognition are integrated. This model does not hold true for visceral sensation and it has now become apparent that the discrepancies are not only of anatomical organization, but are also at the molecular and cellular level. Pain is the only sensation that can be evoked from most internal organs and even innocuous sensations of rectal or bladder fullness can rapidly evolve to discomfort and pain if maintained. The afferent innervation of viscera consists mainly of unmyelinated fibers without specialized receptor endings. The encoding properties of visceral sensory receptors include discrete ranges in the innocuous and noxious domains as well as intensity encoders that are activated by a full range of stimulus intensities. These are just a few samples of the many mechanistic differences between somatic and visceral pain.

Perhaps the most striking feature of the activation and sensitization of visceral nociceptors is the relation of these processes to the microenvironment of the visceral sensory receptor. The complex motor and secretory functions of internal organs, and especially of the gut, play a determining role in the activation of visceral nociceptors and in their ability to enhance their responsiveness in hyperalgesic states. Understanding this process may throw new light on the pathophysiology of visceral hyperalgesic states, particularly those, such as irritable bowel syndrome, characterized by an absence of demonstrable peripheral damage. It is possible that a small alteration of the microenvironment of the sensory receptor induces hypersensitivity of the nociceptor that in turn triggers the hyperalgesic state.

There is evidence that such processes can occur in the gut, where visceral nociceptors are located in close proximity to epithelial and smooth muscle cells that can alter their functions in chronic inflammatory states. One of the locations where this effect of the microenvironment on the sensitivity of visceral receptors is best known is in the urinary bladder, where a clear role for the urothelium in sensory transduction has been identified. The bladder and ureters, like the gut, are hollow organs from which intense pain sensations can be evoked. In the case of the bladder, distension by urine evokes an initial sensation of fullness that rapidly evolves to discomfort and pain if the bladder is over distended or becomes hyperactive. In recent years, a new body of evidence has come to light pointing to bladder epithelial cells, the urothelium, as key players in the transduction of sensory events (see [2] for review).

The urothelium, like the epithelium that covers the gut, can act as a barrier, but it also has dynamic properties, including some related to the signalling of sensory events. For instance, it is known that these epithelial cells release ATP following stimulation of the organ [3] and that ATP release contributes to the activation of sensory afferents by acting on P2X3 receptors located in unmyelinated primary afferents [4]. This gives the epithelial cover of the gut or bladder an almost neuron-like role in sensory activation. It is also known that urothelial cells express TRPV1 receptors, the endogenous receptor for the active principle of hot peppers [5]. TRPV1 receptors are highly expressed in the mucosa and muscle layers of the lower urinary tract [6] and are localized on unmyelinated afferent fibers that also express substance P and calcitonin gene-related peptide. Birder et al. [7] have also shown that TRPV1 is expressed not only by the afferent fibers located close to the urothelium, but also by the urothelial cells themselves. They demonstrated that exogenously applied capsaicin, or its potent analog resiniferatoxin, increased intracellular Ca2+ and evoked NO release in urothelial cells by a process that required TRPV1 receptors. Mice lacking TRPV1 receptors show a reduction in ATP release by urothelial cells and a number of alterations in the expression of the micturition reflex indicative of a critical role of urothelial TRPV1 receptors in the physiological process triggered by mechanical distention of the bladder [8].
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These studies have revealed a novel mechanism for the transduction of sensory stimuli, including those that may evoke pain, by the lower urinary tract. Urothelial cells can be activated by vanilloids and other TRPV1 agonists, and this would produce the release of ATP to the subepithelial space. The same stimuli can also directly activate the TRPV1 receptors located in the endings of afferent fibers; additionally, the ATP released by the urothelium will also stimulate P2X3 receptors in these afferents, which in turn will evoke sensory events, such as fullness or pain, and reflex activation of bladder activity (fig. 2).

Visceral Hypersensitivity and Referred Hyperalgesia

Both somatic and visceral pain are mediated by an enhanced sensitivity of nociceptive neurons in the CNS. But unlike somatic pain, visceral pain can be expressed by increased pain and discomfort in areas of the body remote, and usually superficial, from the diseased viscus – a process known as referred hyperalgesia. In certain pathological conditions, referred hyperalgesia can be the only expression of underlying visceral disease. These areas of referred hyperalgesia are maintained by an enhanced afferent drive from the primary focus (due to the sensitization of peripheral visceral nociceptors) and are the consequence of altered sensory processing by the CNS (fig. 1a). The patterns of referred hyperalgesia are closely associated with the originating focus and are therefore a valuable clinical tool for the diagnosis of many forms of visceral disease.

The most popular interpretation of the mechanisms of referred hyperalgesia is based on the idea of central sensitization whereby CNS neurons become sensitized by the enhanced afferent drive from the primary focus. The consequences of this hyperexcitability would be enhanced pain sensitivity from the originating viscus, a general increase in motor and autonomic reactions, and the appearance of areas of hyperalgesia in the somatic areas whose afferent innervation converges in the spinal cord with that of the damaged viscus. Central sensitization is the result of synaptic plasticity and is a memory trace of previous painful stimuli (for review see [9, 10]). One of the best-characterized examples of synaptic plasticity in the mammalian nervous system is activity-dependent long-term potentiation (LTP), whereby brief intense conditioning stimuli produce prolonged enhancement of responses to subsequent stimuli. LTP can be evoked by electrical stimulation in the population of superficial spinal cord neurons that mediate hyperalgesia [11]. It can also be induced in intact, anaesthetized rats by natural pain-producing stimuli [12], and an LTP-like enhancement in pain sensitivity can be provoked in human subjects by high-frequency electrical stimulation of the skin [13]. Thus, it is likely that an LTP-like process underlies the generation of a hyperalgesic state. A number of potential molecular targets have been recently associated with the process of CNS sensitization and synaptic plasticity, and some of them have been specifically identified as being related to the development of viscerally induced hyperalgesic states.

Potentiation of spinal nociceptive transmission by synaptic delivery of AMPA receptors, via an NMDA receptor- and Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II-dependent pathway, has been proposed to underlie certain forms of hyperalgesia. To examine AMPA-receptor trafficking in spinal neurons after natural activation of the pain pathway in adult animals in vivo, we compared control mice with mice in which visceral pain and hyperalgesia were induced by intracolonic capsaicin [14]. Subcellular fractionation of proteins from the lumbar spinal cord was followed by immunoblotting with specific antibodies against GluR1 and GluR2/3 AMPA-receptor subunits. There was a pronounced increase in

Fig. 2. The characteristics of visceral sensory receptor activation are determined by the properties of the microenvironment. The figure shows a schematic diagram of the role of the urothelium in the signalling of sensory events. Urothelial cells contain TRPV1 receptors that are activated by vanilloids and other TRPV1 agonists causing the release of ATP, which in turn activates P2X3 receptors in sensory afferents. Vanilloids also activate directly TRPV1 receptors located in these afferents. Distension is known to cause a TRPV1-dependent release of ATP from urothelial cells via an unknown mechanism.
the abundance of the AMPA-receptor subunit GluR1 in the synaptosomal membrane fraction with a peak 3.7-fold increase 180 min after treatment and a corresponding decrease in the cytosolic fraction. In contrast to the pronounced and prolonged effects of the painful visceral stimulus on GluR1 distribution, capsaicin treatment had no effect on the intracellular distribution of GluR2/3 in spinal tissue (fig. 3a).

We found that referred hyperalgesia was inhibited by pretreatment with Brefeldin A applied topically to the spinal cord (fig. 3b), but it did not have analgesic effects in naïve animals [14]. Brefeldin A is a fungal metabolite used as an antibiotic that specifically and reversibly blocks protein transport from the endoplasmic reticulum to the Golgi apparatus, thereby inhibiting exocytosis [15]. It also has the advantage of low toxicity. Brefeldin A at the doses used in the behavioral experiment clearly inhibited the trafficking of GluR1 subunits to the membrane; thus, GluR1 recruitment may contribute to the development and expression of visceral hyperalgesia.

**Functional Abdominal Pain**

Inflammatory bowel disease and irritable bowel syndrome generate two different kinds of visceral pain: organic and functional. The organic pain of inflammatory bowel disease is the consequence of an inflammatory lesion of the gut that directly activates its sensory innervation. This kind of pain is due to sensitization of the local sensory afferents and processing of these enhanced signals by CNS mechanisms that amplify them and main-
tain an increased excitability of central neurons. On the other hand, the functional pain of irritable bowel syndrome and other such conditions appears in the absence of demonstrable pathology of the gut or of their associated nerves. This kind of pain is commonly interpreted as a consequence of hypersensitivity of visceral nociceptive pathways, either of the sensory receptors in the periphery or of the central neurons. However, the pathophysiology of visceral hypersensitivity is far from clear, and there is ongoing discussion as to whether there is a peripheral focus that can be identified at the origin of visceral hypersensitivity [16] or if the process is due to cognitive and affective factors that alter central processing of visceral sensory signals [17]. Because functional abdominal pain conditions are highly prevalent in women, it has been suggested that the pain correlates with the levels of circulating estrogens rather than with an organic disease of an abdominal or pelvic organ [18, 19].

There is some controversy in the literature as to whether estrogen has pronociceptive or antinociceptive properties in both humans and animals. It is well documented that there are gender differences in pain sensitivity, with females showing increased sensitivity to pain and a higher incidence of chronic pain syndromes [20]. These observations have led some investigators to the belief that estrogen is a pronociceptive hormone, responsible for the increased pain sensitivity of females. However, this notion has been difficult to prove in women, because the data have generally shown an antinociceptive action of estrogen in both normal females and in those suffering from chronic pain [21, 22]. A comprehensive review of the literature on the relationship between the menstrual cycle and pain sensitivity in humans [23] concluded that numerous methodological inconsistencies made it difficult to draw conclusions about the relationship of female sex hormones with pain perception.

Animal studies have also produced contradictory results regarding the effects of estrogen on pain sensitivity. Using a variety of acute noxious stimuli and acute administration of estrogen, several studies have reported an increase in the visceromotor and neuronal responses to colorectal distension [24], a sensitization of visceral afferents that innervate the uterine cervix [25, 26], and an amplification of pain responses to uterine cervical distension [27]. On the other hand, there are also reports of increases in pain sensitivity in ovariectomized rats that could be reversed or reduced by exogenous administration of estrogen [28–30]. It has also been described that the selective estrogen receptor-β agonist ERB-041 is antihyperalgesic in rat models of chemical and inflammatory pain [31]. These reports would indicate that estrogen could induce an increase in endogenous antinociception and, thus, reduce pain sensitivity.

Over the last few years, we have developed an animal model of functional abdominal pain in ovariectomized adult mice [32, 33]. These animals develop a chronic abdominal hyperalgesic state after ovariectomy (OVX, also termed oophorectomy; fig. 4a) characterized by mechanical hyperalgesia and allodynia restricted to the abdominal and pelvic regions and to the hind limbs, but sparing the rest of the body. Thermal and visceral hyperalgesia are also present in these animals. This hyperalgesic state appears 4–5 weeks after OVX and persists for several weeks thereafter. A particularly prominent feature of this model is a robust visceral hyperalgesia of early onset and long duration.

A striking aspect of the OVX-induced hyperalgesic state is its sensitivity to estrogen replacement therapy. Administration of exogenous estrogens to OVX animals by means of slow-release pellets containing 17-β estradiol can either prevent the development of the hyperalgesic state or reverse it back to normal once it has developed (fig. 4a, b). Because of the clinical features of the hyperalgesia and its sensitivity to exogenous estrogen, we have suggested that OVX-induced hyperalgasia mimics the process that underlies functional abdominal pain disorders in women. This particularly applies to those in which no primary lesion of an abdominal or pelvic organ can be found and show a slow onset, a hormonal modulation and a trend towards chronicity [32, 33].

Conclusions

Somatic and visceral pain show some similarities in their neurobiological mechanisms, but those that mediate visceral pain are sufficiently unique to preclude interpreting visceral pain conditions purely as a direct extrapolation of what we know about somatic pain. The functional properties of visceral nociceptors are different from those of their somatic counterparts and the microenvironment where visceral nociceptors are located, especially the motor and secretory functions of the gut, play a key role in the activation and sensitization of visceral sensory receptors. All forms of visceral pain include the development of a hyperalgesic state that originates from the internal organ that has been damaged or inflamed and is referred to a remote and superficial region of the body. In some cases visceral hyperalgesia appears in the absence of an identifiable peripheral cause, perhaps as a
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Consequence of the sensitization and hyperexcitability of visceral afferents evoked by subclinical changes in their microenvironment. Hyperalgesia is the most prominent feature of the visceral pain process and is the expression of hypersensitivity of the pain pathway induced by the sensitization of the peripheral receptors that signal visceral sensory events or of the neurons that transmit and process this sensory information to the CNS. A process of synaptic plasticity, of which several molecular components have already been identified, mediates the central amplification of the visceral afferent signals that leads to the hypersensitivity of central neurons. In addition to the hyperalgesia triggered as a consequence of the injury or inflammation of an internal organ, there are also functional pain states, characterized by pain reported from the abdominal or pelvic cavities, but in the absence of a demonstrable peripheral cause. Although not much is known about the causes of such states, it is thought that hypersensitivity of peripheral sensory receptors or an enhanced responsiveness of central visceral pathways may be responsible for such functional pain states. Circulating sex hormones, particularly estrogen, may also have a role in the development and maintenance of functional pain syndromes in women.
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